SYDNEY WEST JOINT REGIONAL PLANNING PANEL

Meeting held at Blacktown City Council on Thursday 30 October 2014 at 11.00 am

Panel Members: Mary-Lynne Taylor (Chair), Stuart McDonald and Bruce McDonald

Apologies: Paul Mitchell **Declarations of Interest**: None

Determination and Statement of Reasons

2014SYW027 – Blacktown, DA/14-91, Two lot subdivision and the staged construction of 4 x 4 storey residential flat buildings and concurrent strata subdivision, 9 Terry Road, Rouse Hill.

Date of determination: 12 December 2014

Decision:

The panel determined to approve the development application as described in Schedule 1 pursuant to section 80 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979*.

Panel consideration:

The panel considered: the matters listed at item 6, the material listed at item 7 and the material presented at meetings and the matters observed at site inspections listed at item 8 in Schedule 1.

Standard Variation

Having considered the applicants request to vary the development standard contained in Clause 4.3 Height of Buildings of the Sydney Region Growth Centres SEPP 2006 the Panel considers that compliance with the standard is unnecessary in the circumstances of this proposal as the variation sought is minor and there are sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify the requested variation and as the proposed development is consistent with the objectives of the standard and the objectives of the R3 Medium Density Zone.

Reasons for the panel decision:

- 1. The proposed development will add to the supply and choice of housing within the Western Metropolitan Subregion and the City of Blacktown in a location near to urban services offered by Rouse Hill Regional Centre and near to regional transport.
- 2. The scale, architectural treatment and landscape treatment, adopted for the proposal are consistent with the planned future of the sector in which it is placed as an element of the Northwest Regional Growth Centre.
- 3. The proposed development amendments will have no significant additional adverse impacts on the natural or built environments.
- 4. The proposed development provides satisfactory compliance with the relevant planning provisions including SEPP (State and Regional Development) 2011, SEPP 55 (containation) and SEPP 65.
- 5. The proposal adequately satisfies the provisions of Blacktown City Growth Centres DCP, noting the advice of the Department of Education that the site is not required at this time.
- 6. In consideration of conclusions 1-5 above the Panel considers the proposal is in the public interest.

Conditions: The development application was approved subject to the revised conditions in the Council Assessment Report.

Panel members:

Mary-Lynne Taylor (Chair)

Stuart McDonald

Bruce McDonald

SYDNEY WEST JOINT REGIONAL PLANNING PANEL

SCHEDULE 1	
1	JRPP Reference – 2014SYW027, LGA – Blacktown City Council, DA/14-91
2	Proposed development: Two lot subdivision and the staged construction of 4 x 4 storey residential flat
_	buildings and concurrent strata subdivision.
3	Street address: 9 Terry Road, Rouse Hill
4	Applicant/Owner: Owner: Winter Properties Group Pty Ltd
5	Type of Regional development: Capital investment value over \$20 million
6	Relevant mandatory considerations
	Environmental planning instruments:
	 State Environmental Planning Policy (Sydney Region Growth Centres) 2006
	 State Environmental Planning Policy (State and Regional Development) 2011
	 State Environmental Planning Policy 65 – Design Quality of Residential Flat Development
	 State Environmental Planning Policy 55 – Remediation of Land
	 State Environmental Planning Policy (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004
	Draft environmental planning instruments: Nil
	Development control plans:
	 Blacktown City Council Growth Centre Precincts Development Control Plan 2010
	Planning agreements: Nil
	Regulations:
	 Environmental Planning and Assessment Act Regulation 2000
	The likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment
	and social and economic impacts in the locality.
	The suitability of the site for the development.
	Any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regulation.
<u> </u>	The public interest.
7	Material considered by the panel:
	On 30 October 2014 the Panel considered this application and deferred its determination of the DA to seek a
	response from the Department of Planning and Environment regarding whether this site continues to be required
	as a school site as shown on the Area 20 indicative Layout Plan (ILP).
	Council accomment report, draft conditions of concept, development application plans & shadow diagrams
	Council assessment report, draft conditions of consent, development application plans & shadow diagrams, location of objector's properties, clause 4.6 variation to development standard and SEPP 65 design quality
	principles – considered on 30 October 2014, Department of Planning and Environment Letter, Supplementary
	Report with revised conditions of consent.
	Submissions: 3
	Verbal submissions at the panel meeting:
	Warwick Gosling on behalf of the applicant
8	Meetings and site inspections by the panel:
	8 May 2014 - Briefing Meeting;
	30 October 2014 - Site Inspection and Final Briefing Meeting;
	12 December 2014 - Final Briefing Meeting.
9	Council recommendation: Approval
10	Conditions: Attached to council assessment report
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·